2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 11 EMERSON LESLIE, Case No.: 2:19-cv-01206-RFB-NJK 12 Plaintiff(s), **ORDER** 13 v. [Docket Nos. 4, 5] 14 GENEVIEVE CRAGGS, et al., 15 Defendant(s). 16 Pending before the Court are Plaintiff's amended application to proceed in forma pauperis (Docket No. 5) and Plaintiff's motion to extend the time to file a proper application to proceed in 18 forma pauperis (Docket No. 4). 19 A prisoner's application to proceed in forma pauperis must be supported by a financial 20 certificate and an inmate account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the 21 filing of the complaint. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Plaintiff's amended application to proceed in 22 forma pauperis is supported by an outdated financial certificate and account statement from 23 February 2019, or nearly half a year before the complaint in this case was filed. See Docket No. 5 at 3-10. Accordingly, the amended application to proceed in forma pauperis (Docket No. 5) is **DENIED** without prejudice. 26 27 28

With respect to the motion to extend time, Plaintiff indicates that his upcoming release on parole¹ has hindered his ability to obtain the appropriate financial information to support his application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. *See* Docket No. 4 at 2. For good cause shown, the Court **EXTENDS** the deadline to file a proper application to proceed *in forma pauperis* to October 16, 2019. Accordingly, the motion to extend (Docket No. 4) is **GRANTED** as stated above.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 16, 2019

Nancy J. Koppe

United States Magistrate Judge

¹ It is not clear whether Plaintiff was in fact paroled. The Court reminds Plaintiff that, to the extent his address changes, he must immediately notify the Court of that change in writing. *See*, *e.g.*, Local Rule IA 3-1. Failure to comply with that requirement may result in the dismissal of this case. *See id.*

The Court also notes that, even when a prisoner is released after filing his complaint, the prisoner-specific fee requirements in § 1915 continue to apply. *See Putzer v. Attal*, 2013 WL 4519351, at *3 (D. Nev. Aug. 23, 2013).

² This motion also seeks varied alternative relief, which is all denied without prejudice given the granting of the request for an extension.